

[25th January 1928]

- (d) Yes. The hon. Member is referred to the "Statistics of Civil Courts in the Madras Presidency for the year 1926" and the "Statistics of Criminal Courts in the Madras Presidency for the year 1926" which have been placed on the Editors' Table.

Collectorates

Proposed location of the Collectorate offices in the Cantonment building in Poonamallee.

1497 Q.—Mr. A. PARASURAMA RAO: Will the hon. the Member for Revenue be pleased to state—

(a) whether there was any proposal to locate the Collectorate offices, etc., of Chingleput district in the Cantonment building in Poonamallee town;

(b) whether the Military authorities were consulted in the matter; if so, with what result;

(c) what is the cost of the Military Cantonment buildings situated in the Poonamallee town;

(d) how long they have been vacant;

(e) how long the buildings were occupied after they were constructed;

(f) what is the cost of the establishment for its present upkeep; and

(g) whether the idea of locating the Collectorate offices in these buildings has been given up; if so, why?

A.—(a) Yes.

(b) Yes. The Government of India (Army Department) were prepared to consider the question.

(c), (d), (e) & (f) This Government is not in a position to answer these questions. The information would be with the Army Department of the Government of India.

(g) Yes; as the proposal would involve

(i) the removal of the District Superintendent of Police and the armed reserve to Poonamallee and the location of the headquarters of the Collector and the District Superintendent of Police six miles away from the nearest Railway station;

(ii) extensive alterations to the barracks and the construction of quarters for the Collector, the District Superintendent of Police and their staff.

Land Revenue

Alleged auction of R.S. No. 29 of Nagarapadi village.

1498 Q.—Swami A. S. SAHAJANANDAM: Will the hon. the Member for Revenue be pleased to state—

(a) whether it is a fact that R.S. No. 29 of Nagarapadi village, Chidambaram taluk, has been auctioned on 17th June 1924; and

(b) if so, what is the necessity for issuing notice that the same land is to be auctioned again in the near future?

A.—(a) & (b) The Government have no information about the case. No representation has been received on the subject.